IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.S.RADHAKRISHNAN
&
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANTONY DOMINIC

THURSDAY, THE 14TH JUNE 2007 / 24TH JYAISHTA 1929

RP.No. 53 of 2005()

AGAINST THE JUDGEMENT/ORDER IN CRP.431/2001 Dated 25/08/2004
RCA NO.247/1996 OF ADDL.DISTRICT COURT, THALASSERY.
RCP NO.300/1995 OF ADDL.MUNSIFF COURT, KANNUR.
.....

REVIEW PETITIONER/RESPONDENT

ARAYAKKANDY PARAYIL SURESHAN,
S/O. KRISHNAN, BUSINESS, PALLIKKUNNU AMSOM, DESOM,
KANNUR TALUK, KANNUR DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.T.A.RAMADASAN

RESPONDENTS: REVISION PETITIONERS
----

1. CHERUMANALIL KOTTIATH KARATHAN
@ ORU THAVAZHI THARAWATTILE ONNAM
UPPATHAVAZHIKKARANAVAR K.P.CHANDRASEKHARAN,
ADVOCATE S/O. YESODA, RESIDING AT TALAP WARD,
KANNUR II AMSOM, KANNUR TALUK, KANNUR DISTRICT.

2. BROTHER, K.P.JAYAKUMAR, PENSIONER
RESIDING AT PALLIKKUNNU AMSOM DESOM,
KANNUR TALUK, KANNUR DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.V.R.KESAVA KAIMAL

THIS REVIEW PETITION HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 14/06/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

K.S.RADHAKRISHNAN & ANTONY DOMINIC, JJ.

==========

R.P. NO. 53 OF 2005

IN

C.R.P.No.431 of 2001

==========

Dated this the 14th day of June, 2007

O R D E R

Radhakrishnan, J.

Learned counsel for the respondents submitted that the shop rooms has been vacated and the landlord has been put in possession of those rooms. In the circumstances, the review petition is dismissed as infructuous. Even otherwise, no grounds have been made out for entertaining this review petition.

K.S.RADHAKRISHNAN, JUDGE.

ANTONY DOMINIC, JUDGE.

Rp